

Building All of Mathematics without Axioms

An n -Categorical Manifesto

Sophie d'Espalungue

March 19, 2025

Building All of Mathematics without Axioms

An n -Categorical Manifesto

PhD Thesis work

- *Operads in 2-categories and models of structure interchange*
- Initiated by the need to
 - manage constructions involving large categories
 - describe more complex structures and symmetriesto understand the structure of n -fold iterated loop spaces.

Idea

- Define everything.
- Internalize type constructors
- Allow internal reasoning only.
- Capture all of dependencies through functoriality.

Timeline

Foundations of Logic and Mathematics

- **Maths written in natural language.**

- Axioms as proof-irrelevant truth.

-350 *Aristotle* Logic

-300 *Euclide* Axiomatization of Mathematics

1666 *Leibnitz* Let's prove the axioms!

- **Formalization of mathematical language.**

- Boundary between axiom and definition fades away.

1847 *Boole* Logic

1883 *Cantor* Set Theory

1894 *Peano* Symbolic Language, Natural Numbers

1898 *Hilbert* Formal Systems

- **Emergence of mathematical logic.**

- Truth as deducibility within a coherent formal system.

1901 Russel's Paradox

1922 ZFC

1931 Gödel's incompleteness, Tarski's undefinability of truth

1942 Category Theory

Formal Systems

The Idea

- A formal system enables to deduce truth about things from simple truth about those things.
- Formally assume that
 - some statements hold (axioms)
 - some statements can be deduced from other (rules)
- Combine the rules to obtain syntactic consequences
 - Write $K \vdash J$ if J can be derived from K (proof tree)
 - e.g.
$$\frac{\frac{J_1^1 \dots J_1^{n_1}}{J_1} \dots \frac{J_p^1 \dots J_p^{n_p}}{J_p}}{J} \Rightarrow J_1^1 \dots J_p^{n_p} \vdash J$$
- The results are valid for each object satisfying the axioms.

META LEVEL		Model	F S	Expected truth value
0	Things	Objects	T, x	
1	Judgments	Statements	J	$\vdash J$
2	Rules	Hold	$\frac{J_1 \dots J_r}{J}$	$(\prod_i \vdash J_i) \Rightarrow (\vdash J)$
2	Axioms	Hold	\overline{A}	$\top \Rightarrow (\vdash A)$
3	Entailment	Hold	$K \vdash J$	$(\vdash K) \Rightarrow (\vdash J)$

Formal Systems

Entailment

Ultimately, we care about the derivability of judgments. Form a poset (\mathbb{J}, \vdash)

- whose objects are concatenation of judgments: $\emptyset, J_1 \cdots J_r$
- whose order is generated by the rules in a way compatible with concatenation, so that

- $\mathbb{J}(J_1 \dots J_r, J) = J_1 \dots J_r \vdash J$

- $\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{J}(J_i^\bullet, J_i) \Rightarrow \mathbb{J}(J_1^\bullet \cdots J_r^\bullet, J_1 \cdots J_r) \quad \frac{J_1^1 \cdots J_1^{n_1}}{J_1} \dots \frac{J_r^1 \cdots J_r^{n_r}}{J_r}$

- Human interpretation of a deductive system:

$$\mathbb{J}(\emptyset, -) : \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{J}, \vdash) & \xrightarrow{\vdash -} & (\mathbb{B}, \Rightarrow) \\ J & \mapsto & \vdash J \end{array}$$

$$K \vdash L \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\vdash K \Rightarrow \vdash L) \quad \frac{K}{L} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\emptyset}{K} \Rightarrow \frac{\emptyset}{L} \right)$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} (\vdash \emptyset) = \top \\ (\vdash J_1 \cdots J_r) = (\vdash J_1) \times \cdots \times (\vdash J_r) \\ \frac{J_1 \cdots J_r}{J} \Rightarrow (\vdash J_1) \times \cdots \times (\vdash J_r) \Rightarrow (\vdash J) \end{array} \right.$$

A Significant Problem

Deductive Systems and Boolean Logic

While the deductive process is confined to Boolean logic, it fails to benefit from its essential reasoning features.

Deductive System	Real Life
$\vdash _ :$ (\mathbb{J}, \vdash) \longrightarrow	$(\mathbb{B}, \Rightarrow)$
<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ No structural \perp◦ $(\vdash J \Rightarrow \perp)$ doesn't give $J \vdash K$ for all K◦ Can't derive $J \vdash K$ from $\vdash J \Rightarrow \vdash K$	<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Contradiction $(\vdash J) \times (\vdash J \Rightarrow \perp) \Rightarrow \perp$◦ Ex falso quod libet $\perp \Rightarrow \vdash K$◦ Proof-based implication $(\top \Rightarrow \vdash J) \Rightarrow (\top \Rightarrow \vdash K)$ $\Leftrightarrow (\vdash J \Rightarrow \vdash K)$

Consequence: Some truth are send outside of the system:

$$\mathbb{J}(\emptyset, _) : \mathbb{J}(J, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\vdash J, \vdash K)$$

Formal Systems vs Internal Reasoning

Formal Systems Suffer from their External Interpretation

Notation	What It Is	What It Should Be
(\mathbb{J}, \vdash)	A Cartesian Poset $_ \vdash _ : \mathbb{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$	An Heyting Algebra Object $_ \vdash _ : \mathbb{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$
$\vdash J$	A Truth Value $J : \mathbb{J} \Rightarrow \vdash J : \mathbb{B}$ $\emptyset \vdash _ : \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$	The Same Judgment $J : \mathbb{J} \Rightarrow (\top \vdash J) \simeq J : \mathbb{J}$ $\top \vdash _ : \mathbb{J} \xrightarrow{Id} \mathbb{J}$
\emptyset or \top	The Empty Judgment $(J \vdash \emptyset)$	The Terminal Object $(J \vdash \top) \simeq \top : \mathbb{J}$
\perp	It Can Be Introduced $(\perp \vdash J) ?$	The Initial Object $(\perp \vdash J) \simeq \top : \mathbb{J}$
J^\perp	It Can Be Defined e.g. $J^\perp := (J \rightarrow \perp) : \mathbb{J}$	Structural Negation $_ \vdash \perp : \mathbb{J}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$ $(J \vdash K) \vdash (K^\perp \vdash J^\perp)$
$(\vdash J)^\perp$ $\Leftrightarrow \vdash J^\perp$?	True $(\vdash J)^\perp \Leftrightarrow J^\perp \Leftrightarrow \vdash J^\perp$

Formal Systems vs Internal Reasoning

Formal Systems Suffer from the External Interpretation

Notation	What It Is	What It Should Be
(\mathbb{J}, \vdash)	A Cartesian Poset $_ \vdash _ : \mathbb{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$	An Heyting Algebra Object $_ \vdash _ : \mathbb{J}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$
$\vdash J \times \vdash J^\perp$	A Truth Value <i>consistence</i>	Structurally False $(\vdash J) \times (J \vdash \perp) \vdash \perp$
$J \vdash K$	A Truth Value <i>derivable rules</i>	A Judgment $J \vdash K : \mathbb{J}$
$\vdash J \Rightarrow \vdash K$	Another Truth Value <i>admissible rules</i>	The Same Judgment $(\vdash J) \vdash (\vdash K) \simeq J \vdash K$

NB The notion of fixed point does not make sense for internal negation

$$(_)^\perp : \mathbb{J}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$$

Formal Systems: Key Weaknesses

An Invitation to Internal Reasoning

Intrinsic Structural Shortcomings

- No built-in Heyting algebra structure
- Exclusively deductive: lacks hypothetical reasoning
- Admissible rules are externally valid but internally invalid

Syntactic and Structural Overhead

- Proliferation of implication symbols: $\multimap, \vdash, \models, \Rightarrow, \rightarrow, \vdash$
- Tedious formalization

Expressiveness Limitations

- Ultimately reduces to boolean classic logic (*if*), *then*, *and*.
- Cannot express intermediate values of derivability

From Denotation to Meaning by Substitution

Statements and Truth Values

We want to **assign a meaning to combinations of symbols**.

Expression $:=$ Combination of symbols.

Statement $:=$ Expression assigned with a **meaning**.

Meaning $:=$ Meaningful combination of meaningful expressions.

Meaningful $:=$ Assigned with a truth value.

Truth Value $:=$ Possible value for the evaluation of a statement.

Meaning assignment

$(\text{some expr}) := (\text{some meaningful comb of meaningful exprs})$

Objective

Determine the **truth value** of statements.

Evaluation

The evaluation process stops at truth values:

The value of a truth value is itself.

In this talk, statements are evaluated as either \perp or \top .

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their **proof**.
- True has proof.
- False has no proof
- (Maybe has maybe a proof.)

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $(\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)) = \top$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their **proof**.
- True has proof.
- False has no proof
- (Maybe has maybe a proof.)

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $(\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)) = \top$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their proof.
- (**True has proof**) is **true**
- (**False has proof**) is **false**

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $(\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)) = \top$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their proof.
- **(True has proof) := true**
- **(False has proof) := false**

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $(\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)) = \top$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their proof.
- $(\top \text{ has proof}) := \top$
- $(\perp \text{ has proof}) := \perp$

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $(\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)) = \top$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their proof.
- $(\gamma : \top) := \top$
- $(\beta : \perp) := \perp$

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $(\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)) = \top$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their proof.
- $(\gamma : \top) := \top$
- $(\beta : \perp) := \perp$

Consequences

- We say that a statement is true if it has a proof.
- Implication is determined by its behaviour on proofs
- A proof of a product consists of a proof of each factors.

Truth Values

Conjunction, Implication and Proof

Truth Tables

$_ \Rightarrow _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\top	\perp	\top

$_ \times _$	\perp	\top
\perp	\perp	\perp
\top	\perp	\top

Also write:

- $\mathbb{B}(x, y) := x \Rightarrow y$
- $x \Leftrightarrow y := (x \Rightarrow y) \times (y \Rightarrow x)$

Note that $(\mathbb{B}(x, y_1 \times y_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(x, y_1) \times \mathbb{B}(x, y_2)) = \top$

Proof and Truth

- Truth values are identified by their proof.
- $(\gamma : \top) := \top$
- $(\beta : \perp) := \perp$

Consequences

- $P \text{ is true } \Leftrightarrow p : P \Leftrightarrow P \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}(\top, P)$
- $\nu : \mathbb{B}(P, Q) \Leftrightarrow (p : P \Rightarrow \nu p : Q)$
- $\nu : P \times Q \Leftrightarrow (\nu_P : P) \times (\nu_Q : Q)$

Internalizing Typing Judgments

Overview of the Strategy

The objects X that we first consider are equipped with a predicate $(_ : X) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$.

- $(_ : X)$ maps a variable x to a truth value $(x : X)$, which we regard as “ x is an element of type X ”.
- The object $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is itself equipped with a predicate $_ : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$, which to $P : \mathbb{V}$ associates $P : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} :=$
 - $(x : \mathbb{V}) \Rightarrow Px : \mathbb{B}$
 - $x, y : \mathbb{V} \Rightarrow ((x =_{\mathbb{V}} y) \Rightarrow Px \Leftrightarrow Py)$
- **By substitution** of P by $(_ : X)$, we obtain $(_ : X) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{B}$
 - $(x : \mathbb{V}) \Rightarrow (x : X) : \mathbb{B}$
 - $x, y : \mathbb{V} \Rightarrow ((x =_{\mathbb{V}} y) \Rightarrow (x : X) \Leftrightarrow (y : X))$
- This predicate should be seen as a type constructor...
- ... hence a way to construct, or *define* elements of X .
- An element of X is a variable x equipped with a proof $def_x^X : (x : X)$.

The Definition

Objects. $_ : \mathbf{CAT}_n : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$$(X : \mathbf{CAT}_n) := \begin{array}{l} - \quad (_ : X) \quad : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ - \quad X(_, _) \quad : \quad X^{\text{op}} \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}_{n-1} \end{array}$$

Interpretation

An n -category X consists of

- **Objects:** A truth value $(x : X)$ depending on a variable symbol $x : \mathbb{V}$, which gives a way for x to be defined as an element of X .
- **Morphisms:** An $(n - 1)$ -category $X(x, y)$ for each elements $x, y : X$, together with additional structure promoting this mapping to an n -functor $X(_, _)$.

Objective

Provide this sentence with a meaning.

First Observations

$$(_ : \text{CAT}_n) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

$$(\textcolor{red}{X} : \text{CAT}_{\textcolor{green}{n}}) := \begin{array}{l} - \quad (_ : \textcolor{red}{X}) \quad : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ - \quad \textcolor{red}{X}(_, _) \quad : \quad \textcolor{red}{X}^{\text{op}} \times \textcolor{red}{X} \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{\textcolor{green}{n}-1} \end{array}$$

Objective

Assign the right hand side with meaning.

Method

- Assign each line with a truth value.
- The result is obtained by conjunction.

Steps

- $Y : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow Y^{\text{op}} : \text{CAT}_n$
- $Y, Z : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow Y \times Z : \text{CAT}_n$
- $Y, Z : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(Y, Z) : \text{CAT}_n$

Proceed by induction to avoid circularity. Then:
 $\text{CAT}_n(Y, Z) : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow _ : \text{CAT}_n(Y, Z) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

- $\text{CAT}_{n-1} : \text{CAT}_n$

First Observations

$$(_ : \text{CAT}_n) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

$$(\textcolor{red}{X} : \text{CAT}_n) := \begin{array}{l} - \quad (_ : \textcolor{red}{X}) \quad : \quad \text{CAT}_0(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{B}) \\ - \quad \textcolor{red}{X}(_, _) \quad : \quad \text{CAT}_n(\textcolor{red}{X}^{\text{op}} \times \textcolor{red}{X}, \text{CAT}_{n-1}) \end{array}$$

Objective

Assign the right hand side with meaning.

Method

- Assign each line with a truth value.
- The result is obtained by conjunction.

Steps

- $Y : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow Y^{\text{op}} : \text{CAT}_n$
- $Y, Z : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow Y \times Z : \text{CAT}_n$
- $Y, Z : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(Y, Z) : \text{CAT}_n$

Proceed by induction to avoid circularity. Then:
 $\text{CAT}_n(Y, Z) : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow _ : \text{CAT}_n(Y, Z) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

- $\text{CAT}_{n-1} : \text{CAT}_n$

Opposite

$$\begin{aligned}
 (X^{\text{op}} : \text{CAT}_n) := & \quad - \quad (_ : X^{\text{op}}) \quad : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\
 & \quad - \quad X^{\text{op}}(_, _) \quad : \quad X^{\text{opop}} \times X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{n-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The opposite X^{op} of $X : \text{CAT}_n$ is constructed inductively as follows:

- $_ : X^{\text{op}} := _ : X$
- $x, y : X^{\text{op}} \Leftrightarrow x, y : X \Rightarrow X^{\text{op}}(x, y) := X(y, x) : \text{CAT}_{n-1}$
- Obtain $X^{\text{opop}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X$ from $X^{\text{opop}}(x, y) = X^{\text{op}}(y, x) = X(x, y)$

$$X^{\text{op}}(_, _) : X^{\text{opop}} \times X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \times X^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\tau} X^{\text{op}} \times X^{\text{opop}} \xrightarrow{X(_, _)} \text{CAT}_{n-1}$$

Remark

The oppositization is made functorial by using higher oppositization functors

$$_^{op_r} : \text{CAT}_n^{op_{r+1}} \rightarrow \text{CAT}_n$$

X^{op_r} is such that $x : X^{op_r} \Leftrightarrow x : X$ and $X^{op_r}(x, y) := X(x, y)^{op_{r-1}}$

Products

The product $X \times Y$ of $X, Y : \mathbf{CAT}_n$ is defined inductively as

- $p : X \times Y \Leftrightarrow (p_X : X, p_Y : Y)$
- $p, q : X \times Y \Rightarrow X \times Y(p, q) : \mathbf{CAT}_n$
 $\quad \mid X \times Y(p, q) := X(p_X, q_X) \times Y(p_Y, q_Y)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X \times Y)^{\text{op}} \times X \times Y & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X^{\text{op}} \times Y^{\text{op}} \times X \times Y \xrightarrow{\cong} X^{\text{op}} \times X \times Y^{\text{op}} \times Y \\
 & \searrow X \times Y(-, -) & \downarrow X(-, -) \times Y(-, -) \\
 & & \mathbf{CAT}_n \times \mathbf{CAT}_n \\
 & & \downarrow \times \\
 & & \mathbf{CAT}_n
 \end{array}$$

By construction, $X \times Y$ is equipped with morphisms in \mathbf{CAT}_n

- $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$
- $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$

Products

By construction, $X \times Y$ is equipped with morphisms in CAT_n

- $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$
- $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$

The product yields

$$\times : \text{CAT}_n \times \text{CAT}_n \rightarrow \text{CAT}_n$$

and satisfies the universal property

$$\text{CAT}_n(-, X \times Y) \simeq \text{CAT}_n(-, X) \times \text{CAT}_n(-, Y)$$

First levels

$\mathbb{B} : \text{CAT}_0$

Notation

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha := \text{CAT}_{-2-1} \\ \top := \text{CAT}_{-1-1} \\ \mathbb{B} := \text{CAT}_{0-1} \end{array} \right.$$

- First recall that $\gamma : \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\gamma, \top) = \top$
- By substitution of n by -1 in $(\tau : \text{CAT}_n)$:

$$(\tau : \mathbb{B}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \begin{array}{lcl} - & (_ : \tau) & : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ - & \tau(_, _) & : \quad \mathbb{B}(\tau^{\text{op}} \times \tau, \top) \end{array}$$

First levels

$\mathbb{B} : \text{CAT}_0$

Notation

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha := \text{CAT}_{-2-1} \\ \top := \text{CAT}_{-1-1} \\ \mathbb{B} := \text{CAT}_{0-1} \end{array} \right.$$

- First recall that $\gamma : \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\gamma, \top) = \top$
- By substitution of n by -1 in $(\tau : \text{CAT}_n)$:

$$(\tau : \mathbb{B}) \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} - \quad (- : \tau) \quad : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ - \quad \tau(-, -) \quad : \quad \top \end{array}$$

First levels

$\mathbb{B} : \text{CAT}_0$

Notation

$\alpha := \text{CAT}_{-2-1}$

$\top := \text{CAT}_{-1-1}$

$\mathbb{B} := \text{CAT}_{0-1}$

- First recall that $\gamma : \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\gamma, \top) = \top$
- By substitution of n by -1 in $(\tau : \text{CAT}_n)$:

$$(\tau : \mathbb{B}) \Leftrightarrow (_ : \tau) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

First levels

$\mathbb{B} : \text{CAT}_0$

Notation

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha := \text{CAT}_{-2-1} \\ \top := \text{CAT}_{-1-1} \\ \mathbb{B} := \text{CAT}_{0-1} \end{array} \right.$$

- First recall that $\gamma : \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\gamma, \top) = \top$
- By substitution of n by -1 in $(\tau : \text{CAT}_n)$:

$$(\tau : \mathbb{B}) \Leftrightarrow (_ : \tau) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

- By substitution of n by 0 in $(\mathbb{B} : \text{CAT}_n)$:

$$\mathbb{B} : \text{CAT}_0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{l} - \quad (_ : \mathbb{B}) \quad : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ - \quad \mathbb{B}(_, _) \quad : \quad \mathbb{B}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \end{array}$$

$\text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

n - Functors

Objective $X, Y : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

By Definition We need to show the following:

- $(_ : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y)) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$
- $\text{CAT}_n(X, Y)(_ , _) : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y)^{\text{op}} \times \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{n-1}$

First Step

$F : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) :=$

- $x : X \Rightarrow Fx : Y$
- $F(_, _) : \int^{X^{\text{op}} \times X} \text{CAT}_{n-1}(X(x, y), Y(Fx, Fy))$

The end is defined by universal property and encodes naturality.
Its elements can be constructed inductively

- $x, y : X \Rightarrow F(x, y) : X(x, y) \rightarrow Y(Fx, Fy)$
- $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 : X \Rightarrow F_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2}^{(2)} : \int^{f_x : X^{\text{op}}(x_1, x_2), f_y : X(y_1, y_2)} \dots$

$\text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

n - Functors

Objective $X, Y : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

First Step

$F : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) :=$

- $x : X \Rightarrow Fx : Y$

- $F(-, -) : \int^{X^{\text{op}} \times X} \text{CAT}_{n-1}(X(x, y), Y(Fx, Fy))$

The end is defined by universal property and encodes naturality.
Its elements can be constructed inductively

$$\begin{aligned} & \int^{X^{\text{op}} \times X} \text{CAT}_{n-1}(X(x, y), Y(Fx, Fy)) \\ \simeq & [X^{*\text{op}} \times X^*, \text{CAT}_{n-1}](X^*(-, -), \text{CAT}_{n-1}(X(-, -), Y(F-, F-))) \\ \simeq & \int^{X^{*\text{op}} \times X^*} \text{CAT}_{n-1}(X^*(-, -), \text{CAT}_{n-1}(X(-, -), Y(F-, F-))) \end{aligned}$$

$\text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

n - Functors

Objective $X, Y : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

First Step

$F : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) :=$

- $x : X \Rightarrow Fx : Y$
- $F(-, -) : \int^{X^{\text{op}} \times X} \text{CAT}_{n-1}(X(x, y), Y(Fx, Fy))$

The end is defined by universal property and encodes naturality.
Its elements can be constructed inductively

- $x, y : X \Rightarrow F(x, y) : X(x, y) \rightarrow Y(Fx, Fy)$
- $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 : X \Rightarrow F_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2}^{(2)} : \int^{f_x : X^{\text{op}}(x_1, x_2), f_y : X(y_1, y_2)}$

$\text{CAT}_{n-1}(X(x_1, y_1), Y(Fx_2, Fy_2))(F(x_2, y_2)X(f_x, f_y),$
 $Y(F(x_1, x_2)f_x, F(y_1, y_2)f_y)F(x_1, x_2))$

The degree decreases at each step hence it stops at $F^{(n)}$.

$\text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

Natural Transformations

Objective $X, Y : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n$

By Definition We need to show the following:

- $(_ : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y)) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$
- $\text{CAT}_n(X, Y)(_, _) : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y)^{\text{op}} \times \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{n-1}$

Second Step

- $F, G : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(X, Y)(F, G) : \text{CAT}_{n-1}$

$$\text{CAT}_n(X, Y)(F, G) := \int^{x:X} Y(Fx, Gx)$$

- $F_1, G_1, F_2, G_2 : \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) \Rightarrow$

$$\text{CAT}_n(X, Y)^{\text{op}}(F_1, G_1) \times \text{CAT}_n(X, Y)(F_2, G_2)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{CAT}_n[\text{CAT}_n(X, Y)(F_1, F_2), \text{CAT}_n(X, Y)(G_1, G_2)]$$

Straightforward consequence of the structure of Y

Back to the Definition

$$\text{CAT}_n(-, -) : \text{CAT}_n^{\text{op}} \times \text{CAT}_n \rightarrow \text{CAT}_n$$

Objective $\text{CAT}_n : \text{CAT}_{n+1}$

By Definition We need to show the following:

- $(- : \text{CAT}_n) : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$
- $\text{CAT}_n(-, -) : \text{CAT}_n^{\text{op}} \times \text{CAT}_n \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{n+1-1}$

Last Step

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \text{Promote to an } n+1\text{-functor} \\ X, Y : \text{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(X, Y) : \text{CAT}_n \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{CAT}_n^{\text{op}}(X_1, Y_1) \times \text{CAT}_n(X_2, Y_2) \rightarrow \text{CAT}_n(\text{CAT}_n(X_1, X_2), \text{CAT}_n(Y_1, Y_2))$$

$$f_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow X_1, f_2 : X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2 \Rightarrow (X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \Rightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} Y_2)$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} f_2 f f_1 : - y : Y_1 \xRightarrow{f_1} f_1 y : X_1 \xRightarrow{f} f f_1 y : X_2 \xRightarrow{f_2} f_2 f f_1 y : Y_2 \\ - y, z : Y_1 \Rightarrow f_2 f f_1(x, y) : Y_1(y, z) \rightarrow Y_2(f_2 f f_1 y, f_2 f f_1 z) \end{array} \right.$$

$$Y_1(y, z) \xrightarrow{f_1(y, z)} X_1(f_1 y, f_1 z) \xrightarrow{f(f_1 y, f_1 z)} X_2(f f_1 y, f f_1 z) \xrightarrow{f_2(f_2 f f_1 y, f_2 f f_1 z)} Y_2(f_2 f f_1 y, f_2 f f_1 z)$$

CAT₀

Posets and order preserving maps

An element of CAT₀ correspond to a poset:

$$P : \text{CAT}_0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{ll} - & (_ : P) \quad : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \\ - & P(_, _) \quad : \quad P^{\text{op}} \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \end{array}$$

- The naturality of the structural map corresponds to transitivity
- Maps between poset inherit a poset structure

Poset maps

$$(P, Q : \text{CAT}_0) \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_0(P, Q) : \text{CAT}_0$$

Explicitly

- $(f : P \rightarrow Q) := -$
 - $x : P \Rightarrow fx : Q$
 - $p, q : P \Rightarrow (f(p, q) : P(p, q) \Rightarrow Q(fp, fq))$

- $(f, g : P \rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow \text{CAT}_0(P, Q)(f, g) := \int^{x:P} Q(fx, gx) : \mathbb{B}$

where $\alpha : \int^{x:P} Q(fx, gx) \Leftrightarrow (x : P \Rightarrow \alpha_x : Q(fx, gx))$

Example

The Poset of Natural Numbers

We let $_ : \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be defined as

- $(0 : \mathbb{N}) := \top,$
- $(x : \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow x + 1 : \mathbb{N})$

We let $\mathbb{N}(_, _) : \mathbb{N}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be induced by

- $\mathbb{N}(x, x + 1) := \top,$

Sets

We define a **set** as a **poset with additional structure**.

$$(X : \mathbf{SET}) := (X : \mathbf{CAT}_0) \times (X \xrightarrow{\simeq} X^{\text{op}})$$

which means $x, y : X \Rightarrow (X(x, y) \Leftrightarrow X(y, x))$. We also write $x = y$

Maps

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between sets is given by

- $x : X \Rightarrow fx : Y$
- $x, y : X \Rightarrow (x = y \Rightarrow fx = fy)$

The Set of Maps

Suppose $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are maps between sets. Equality is defined as

$$(f = g) := \int^{x:X} (fx = fy) : \mathbb{B}$$

Sets

The Poset of Subsets of a Set

The structural map of a set X yields

$$X \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}_0(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbb{B}) =: \mathcal{P}X$$

The Category of Sets

Satisfies all axioms of ETCS.

Quotients

Are very easy to define: suppose $R(_, _) : X^{\mathrm{op}} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$.

- Same objects: $(_ : X/R) := (_ : X)$
- Define equality: $X/R(x, y) := R(x, y)$

A map $X/R \rightarrow Y$ precisely corresponds to a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $R(x, y) \Rightarrow fx = fy$.

Equivalences

A Recursive Definition

Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map in \mathbf{CAT}_n . We say that

- F is a 0-equivalence if

$$(y : Y \Rightarrow (F^* y : X) \times (e_y : Y(FF^* y, y) \times Y(y, FF^* y)))$$

- F is an r -equivalence if F is a 0-equivalence and (F, F^*, e) yields an $r - 1$ equivalence

$$x, y : X \Rightarrow F(x, y) : X(x, y) \rightarrow Y(Fx, Fy)$$

which is natural in x, y .

$$X(x, y) \xrightarrow{F(x, y)} Y(Fx, Fy)$$

$$F^* Fx \xrightarrow{F^* f} F^* Fy$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fx & \xrightarrow{f} & Fy \\ e_{Fx} \downarrow & & \downarrow e_{Fy} \\ FF^* Fx & \xrightarrow{FF^* f} & FF^* Fy \end{array}$$

Equivalences

Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map in CAT_n .

- We say that F is an equivalence if it is an $n + 1$ -equivalence.
- We obtain an n -category of equivalences $\text{CAT}_n(X, Y) \simeq$

In Sets

- 0-equivalences correspond to surjective maps
- 1-equivalences correspond to isomorphisms

Axiom of Choice

- Does not hold: consider a quotient map $\pi : X \rightarrow X/R$.
- It is 0-equivalence: $x : X/R \Leftrightarrow x : X$.
- It does not satisfy $X/R(x, y) = R(x, y) \Rightarrow X(x, y)$ unless it is trivial

In Cat

- 0-equivalences: essentially surjective functors.
- 1-equivalences: full and essentially surjective.
- 2-equivalences: fully faithful and essentially surjective.

Identity, Undiscernability and Equality

The Shadow of Sets

Philosophy

Equality makes sens within a set.

Objects of a category can only be distinguished up to isomorphism.

Objects of an n -category can only be distinguished up to equivalence.

How to define sameness ?

If I define $X := \text{expression}$

then I want X and *expression* to refer to the same thing
in a sense that is stronger than equivalence.

Literal Equality

Literal symbols form a set. We regard a definition as a process
that introduces a literal equality between X and *expression*.

In Type Theory

Literal equality corresponds to the definitional equality.

Interdimensional Connexions

The Truncation - Inclusion Adjunction

in \mathbf{CAT}_{n+1}

$$\Pi : \mathbf{CAT}_n \rightleftarrows I\mathbf{CAT}_{n-1} : I$$

$$X : \mathbf{CAT}_n \Rightarrow \Pi X : \mathbf{CAT}_{n-1}$$

- $\pi_X : \Pi X \Leftrightarrow x : X$
- $x, y : X \Rightarrow \Pi X(x, y) := \Pi(X(x, y)) : \mathbf{CAT}_{n-2}$

$$X : \mathbf{SET} \Rightarrow \Pi X : \mathbb{B}$$

Is false if X is empty and true else.

$$X : \mathbf{CAT}_n \Rightarrow IX : \mathbf{CAT}_{n+1}$$

- $\iota_X : IX \Leftrightarrow x : X$
- $x, y : X \Rightarrow IX(\iota_X, \iota_Y) := I(X(x, y)) : \mathbf{CAT}_{n-2}$

$$\tau : \mathbb{B} \Rightarrow I\tau : \mathbf{SET}$$

$$I\perp = \emptyset \text{ and } I\top = *$$

Small Objects

An object $X : \mathbf{CAT}_n$ is **r -small** if it is equipped with

- An object $X_r : \mathbf{CAT}_r$
- An r -equivalence $I^{n-r} X_r \rightarrow X$

We write $X : \mathbf{CAT}_n^{(r)}$ for an r -small objects in \mathbf{CAT}_n .

- We have a notion of r -small functors between r -small objects.
- r -small objects, together with **r -small functors**, form an $r + 1$ -small n -category $\mathbf{CAT}_n^{(r)} : \mathbf{CAT}_n^{(r+1)}$
- Hence $\mathbf{CAT}_n^{(r)}$ has an underlying $r + 1$ -category $\mathbf{Cat}_n^{(r)} : \mathbf{CAT}_{r+1}$

Small objects in \mathbf{CAT}

- 0-small categories are categories equipped with an equality relation on the objects - underlying set of objects.
- 0-small functors are strict functors.
- We obtain a category of small categories and strict functors.

$\text{CAT}_\omega : \text{CAT}_{\omega+1}$

We say that X is an ω -category and we write $X : \text{CAT}_\omega$ if

$$\begin{aligned} n : \mathbb{Z} \quad \Rightarrow \quad & - \quad X_{(n)} : \text{CAT}_n \\ & - \quad \Pi_n^X : X_{(n+1)} \rightleftarrows I_{n+1} X_{(n)} : I_{n+1}^X \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

$$\text{CAT}_\omega : \text{CAT}_{\omega+1}$$

Idea

- $(\text{CAT}_\omega)_{(n)} := \text{CAT}_n : \text{CAT}_{n+1}$
- $\Pi : \text{CAT}_{n+1} \rightleftarrows I \text{CAT}_n : I$

Remark

$$I \text{CAT}_\omega \simeq \text{CAT}_{\omega+1}$$

Homotopy Type Theory

Interpretation

$$\mathbb{J}_{\text{HoTT}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X : \mathcal{U}_n & \mapsto & X : \text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx} \\ X : \mathcal{U}_n \vdash x : X & \mapsto & (X : \text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx}, x : X) \\ (x \equiv y) & \mapsto & (x =_{\mathbb{L}} y) \end{array}$$

$$\mathbb{J}_{\text{HoTT}}(J_1, \dots, J_r, J) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}([J_1] \times \dots \times [J_r], [J])$$

$$\mathcal{U}\text{-INTRO} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ ctx}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{U}_n : \mathcal{U}_{n+1}} \mapsto (\text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx} : \text{CAT}_{\omega+n+1}^{\approx})$$

$$\mathcal{U}\text{-CUMUL} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_n}{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_{n+1}} \mapsto (A : \text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx} \Rightarrow I A : \text{CAT}_{\omega+n+1}^{\approx})$$

$$\Pi\text{-FORM} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_n \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : \mathcal{U}_n}{\Gamma \vdash \prod_{x:A} B : \mathcal{U}_n} \mapsto \begin{array}{l} A : \text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx}, B : I A \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx} \\ \Rightarrow \prod_{x:A} B x := [I A, \text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx}](*, B) \\ \simeq \int^{x:A} B x : \text{CAT}_{\omega+n}^{\approx} \end{array}$$

Going further

Generalized truth values

Idea

Work with a different cartesian closed poset for truth values.

Example

Let \mathbb{B}^+ with

$$\perp \Rightarrow + \Rightarrow \top$$

Maybe is that which may have proof. The arrows which are written correspond to the elements in the morphisms:

- $\mathbb{B}^+(\perp, +) = \top$
- $\mathbb{B}^+(\top, +) = +$
- $\mathbb{B}^+(\top, \perp) = \perp$
- $\mathbb{B}^+(+, \top) = \top$
- $\mathbb{B}^+(+, \perp) = +$
- $\mathbb{B}^+(\perp, \perp) = \perp$

The arrows that may be can be represented as dotted arrows:

$$\top \dashrightarrow + \dashrightarrow \perp$$

- Objects at level 0 in the corresponding structure have potential elements that may or may not

Generalizations

Formalization

We can work formally within a hierarchy of n -types $\mathbb{T}_n : \mathbb{T}_{n+1}$

satisfying $(X : \mathbb{T}_n) :=$

- $(_ : X) \quad : \quad \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$
- $X(_, _) \quad : \quad X^{\text{op}} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{n-1}$

Example

$\text{CAT}_n^{\mathbb{N}} : \text{CAT}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{N}}$

$X : \text{CAT}_n^{\mathbb{N}} \Rightarrow X(_, _) : X^{\text{op}} \times X \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{in } \text{CAT}_{n-1}^{\mathbb{N}}$

given in n by $X(n)(_, _) : X(n)^{\text{op}} \times X(n) \rightarrow \text{CAT}_{n-1}$

Encapsulating Mathematical Structures

The Nested Approach

Monoidal objects can be defined provided that they live in a bigger object that is itself equipped with a monoidal structure.

Monoidality

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{CAT}_n, \times_n) : \mathrm{MON}_{(\mathrm{CAT}_{n+1}, \times_{n+1})} \\ &\mathrm{MON}_{(\mathrm{CAT}_{n+1}, \times_{n+1})}(*_n, \mathrm{CAT}_n) \simeq \mathrm{MON}_{(\mathrm{CAT}_n, \times_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Operads and Algebras

$$(\mathbb{T}_n, \otimes_n^{\mathbb{P}}) : \mathbb{PALG}_{(\mathbb{T}_{n+1}, \otimes_{n+1}^{\mathbb{P}})}$$

Key Features

This framework is very easy to work with.

- Compact.
- Strongly suited for inductive reasoning.
- Processes literal expressions directly into truth values.
- Avoids the final process of interpretation of the judgments as boolean truth values hence enables much more general logics.
- Provides more general notions of topos and higher structures.
- Encapsulates the nested nature of structures well. Strongly suited for higher structures.
- Is both computational and has an homotopical behaviour.
- The level of freedom in potential generalisations is high.
- It reflects the actual way we do mathematics.

Thanks

- **Any remark:** sophiedespalungue@gmail.com
- **Source:** d'Espalungue d'Arros, S. (2023). *Operads in 2-categories and models of structure interchange*.
<https://theses.hal.science/tel-04617115>
- **Further:**
 - Formal Category Theory: Chapter 1
 - Formal Operad Theory: Chapter 2
 - Structure Interchange: Chapter 3
 - Foundations: Appendix